# A WAY FORWARD

## STRATEGIES AND TOOLS FOR ADDRESSING VACANCY IN LITTLE ROCK

MCOMBAT

PREPARED FOR THE CITY OF LITTLE ROCK

PLACEECONOMICS FEBRUARY 2016



## TABLE OF **CONTENTS**

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### INTRODUCTION

Little Rock Context Relocal Framework and Methodology Building and Land Strategies

#### SUBAREA RESULTS

Central East North Central South West

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

#### APPENDIX

Toolkit for Implementation Tool Definitions **Relocal Metrics Explained** Acknowledgements





**STUDY AREA HIGHTLIGHTED** WITHIN LITTLE ROCK

## 13% **OF ALL BUILDINGS IN THE STUDY AREA ARE VACANT**

22% **OF ALL STUDY AREA PARCELS ARE VACANT LOTS** 

As part of the Relocal project, parcel, block, and subarea characteristics were analyzed within the study area using 74 quantitative metrics across 8 categories plus a community priority survey. The results yield an array of practical recommendations for treating and reusing apparent liabilities - vacant buildings and empty lots - in a way that strategically contributes to the vitality of the surrounding area and improves quality of life for residents. Local stakeholders can select specific strategies based on other plans, available funding, and collaborative coordination. This report serves two purposes: 1. To offer an array of data-based recommendations for each vacant building and vacant lot in the Little Rock study area for the intermediate term (1-5 years); and 2. To establish a flexible framework for local decision-making for multiple entities with different roles and priorities. Within the study area, each subarea had particular strengths and weaknesses, while other variables were consistent among all subareas. Using the Relocal metrics, each area was scored and then that score was measured against the maximum score possible. Three of the five subareas – East, South, and West – scored within 59-63.5% of the total possible scores, with North Central and Central scoring highest, 71% and nearly 72.5% respectively. More information on specific findings within the eight categories for each subarea can be found in the results section. Vacancy is present throughout the five subareas. A total of 13% of

When population declines, whether on a city or a neighborhood level, the consequences can be severe. These losses affect employment opportunities, economic stability, and local tax revenues, reducing resources available for reinvestment in city infrastructure and other necessary improvements. Unlike some American cities, Little Rock has continued to grow in recent years. But some neighborhoods within Little Rock have lost population, including the areas that are the subject of this report. Population losses mean more buildings than there are people to fill them. Over time, as vacant buildings are demolished or otherwise removed, vacant lots are left behind in various states of maintenance. Determining where scarce resources should be allocated to have the greatest impact is challenging, but important. Strategic allocation of funds is key to effective interventions today that meaningfully affect the near- and long-term future of the area. And to do so requires a bigpicture view of where each parcel, block, and neighborhood stands today. The Relocal tool is designed to support this planning approach and facilitate strategic decision-making by taking a comprehensive approach to assessing neighborhood strengths and challenges, identifying current physical conditions, and analyzing neighborhood trends. In Little Rock, Relocal was applied to a study area around the city's downtown that was divided into five subareas: Central, East, North Central, South, and West. The Relocal study area is roughly bounded by I-630 on the north, jogging up to Capitol Avenue and over to

include Hanger Hill; I-30 on the east; Fourche Creek on the south; all buildings with the study area are vacant, and 22% of all parcels and the railroad tracks behind Central High on the west. are vacant lots. Parcel level recommendations are intended to help

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY**



turn perceived liabilities into assets that meet local need. In some cases, the recommendation is to defer decision and to focus limited resources on other properties. This implies that the neighborhoods and city are changing, and that there are properties whose ultimate strategy are contingent on other investments being made first to see where they fall.

Across the subareas, recommendation breakdowns are as follows.

For vacant buildings:

- 22 percent recommended for rehabilitation and reuse, prioritizing those with high character in fair or good condition that are located on more intact blocks
- 29.5 percent are recommended for stabilization and mothballing
- 22 percent are recommended for defer decision -
- 26.5 percent are recommended for razing and recycling -

For vacant lots:

- 17 percent are recommended for infill development
- 41 percent are recommended for contributory reuse that supports community needs and benefits residents. Contributory reuses could include side lot acquisition, pocket parks or other recreational uses, or community gardens.
- 20 percent are recommended for defer decision
- 22 percent are recommended for environmental reuse that would transform the land into natural ecosystems -- whether a single parcel or a contiguous string of parcels -- such as bluegreen infrastructure or other stormwater runoff management systems, wooded areas, or renewable energy production.

# INTRODUCTION



#### FIVE SUBAREAS WITHIN THE RELOCAL STUDY AREA

#### LITTLE ROCK CONTEXT

The Relocal study area in Little Rock encompasses neighborhoods with monumental histories. These neighborhoods span 19th-century mansions, early 20th-century craftsman bungalows, and 1940-50s working class housing within mixed use neighborhoods. Little Rock's Central High School, a landmark in Civil Rights history, lies in subarea West.

While Little Rock continues to grow in population, in part due to To make parcel level recommendations founded in solid data and continual annexation, many of the older and first ring neighborhoods analysis, it is important to understand what is happening at multiple around the downtown that comprise Relocal's study area have lost scales within the study area. population over the past few decades. This population loss has led to vacant and neglected buildings, vacant land resulting from building When taken in together, all the metrics spanning the eight categories outlined above weave a cohesive picture of activities, trends, and removal, and loss of economic prospects. Racial and economic segregation, the placement of highways as physical barriers to current conditions within each subarea. It also provides a comparison neighborhood cohesion, destruction of built resources as part of from one subarea to the next, and in some metrics against citywide highway construction and development, and "white flight" to the trends as well. suburbs over the last 40+ years are among the factors that have led Next, Relocal looks one layer deeper at the block level. Block stability to neighborhood decline.

However, the subareas and their neighborhoods also have vital stabilizing qualities that include long-time residents, character-rich building stock, public transportation access, and much more. Some areas like South Main have seen dramatic turnarounds in the last ten years, and neighborhood residents and organizations are actively working to address challenges of crime and safety, deteriorating buildings, and support for youth and seniors.

working to address challenges of crime and safety, deteriorating buildings, and support for youth and seniors. Relocal serves as one tool to help inform strategic decision-making in these contexts that uses data as a basis for determining movement forward.

#### RELOCAL FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGY

The Relocal tool measures neighborhood vitality through eight quality-of-place categories:

- Real Estate
- Stability
- Neighborhood Character
- Walkability
- Fiscal Responsibility
- Economic Opportunity
- Engagement
- Environment

Each category is comprised of 6 to 15 metrics from a variety of sources and scales that identify strengths and challenges at the neighborhood level. The tool includes 85 metrics, 74 of which were applied in the Little Rock study area. The remaining metrics were not used because the metric was not applicable, information was not available, or available data was not up-to-date.

#### The Role of Scale

Next, Relocal looks one layer deeper at the block level. Block stability plays a critical role in determining the viability of future investments in buildings or land, and is key to making strategic reinvestments retain their value. Block stability contributes to desirability, land and property values, and a feeling of safety for residents. Each block's vacancy rate played a role in determining parcel level outcomes.

Together, these three scales of data collection and analysis produce a body of recommendations that takes into account not just the individual parcel, but its role and position within the broader context of the block and subarea.

#### Weighting

Each metric measures subarea performance for a specific element, such as foreclosures, architectural character, or voter participation, to name a few. However, not every metric is of equal importance. For example, public art is of value, but less significant in evaluating longterm neighborhood health than the condition of the local building stock. Consequently, each metric was assigned a weight relative to other metrics in the same category. These weights reflect the importance of each metric in contributing to a healthy neighborhood, and are applied when aggregating metrics into categories. Similarly, each category was assigned a weight to reflect its relative importance to long-term neighborhood viability.

#### **Community Priority Survey**

Next, a community priority survey was used to gauge local elements of importance. The survey is an opportunity for local citizens to apply their own values and priorities to Relocal's dozens of objective metrics. To measure what they feel are important, Relocal includes a community priority survey that asks how important dozens of neighborhood attributes are from "Very important" to "Not important" on a 1 to 5 scale. It then asks how strongly locals feel their neighborhood is meeting key needs.

With outreach assistance from the City of Little Rock's Planning Department, Quapaw Quarter Association, Arkansas Historic Preservation Program, and Preserve Arkansas, surveys were distributed electronically and in hard copy form throughout the subareas. Responses were obtained from every subarea. The results were used to adjust each category's weight according to its relative importance to the community.

Notably, South valued walkability, stability, and engagement more significantly than other subareas, while North Central valued walkability especially less.

#### Outcomes

Relocal offers strategies and tools to help local decision-makers and advocates evaluate how to best reutilize vacant buildings and lots. Relocal's strategies are designed to facilitate effective use of scarce public resources, raise the quality of life for current residents, and allow highly local decisions to be made within a strategic framework.

An array of recommendations are applied to every vacant building or lot. Taken cumulatively, they will help effectively direct available resources and enable neighborhoods to become more stable, vibrant, and sustainable. These recommendations are for the shortto intermediate-term, one to five years. This timeframe acknowledges that external factors will affect properties in the long run, but allows entities to lay out future plans and seek funding for multiple years and to stage implementation.

Recommended strategies vary: some are very simple, while some are complex. Some are low-budget but require political willpower or strong community support; others take more financial resources. Similarly, some tools aim to catalyze small changes, while others have more ambitious goals. Strategies for two vacant buildings on a block may differ, but both contribute to the same long-range neighborhood vision.

RELOCAL CATEGORY	WHAT IT MEASURES	Central	East	North Central	South	West
Real Estate	Past disinvestment and prospective reinvestment	6	Ð	6	Ð	
Stability	Population trends and related quality-of-life issues	Ð	€	€	6	Ø
Neighborhood Character	Built environment qualities; Sense of place	6	Ð	6	Ð	6
Walkability	Proximity to local assets and amenities	6	Ð	Ð	6	6
Fiscal	Economic costs & contributions of neighborhood elements	€		6	Ð	
Economic Opportunity	Wealth-generating opportunities for residents	6	Ð	6	Ð	6
Engagement	Resident participation in neighborhood	6	Ð	9	6	Ð
Environment	Past land uses, current quality-of-life factors, natural resources	6	6	6	Ð	Ð

#### **BUILDING AND LAND STRATEGIES**

Each recommendation for vacant land or vacant buildings falls within one of four opportunity categories. Appendicies in this report identify a menu of available tools for implementation at the local, state, and national levels, as well as best practices from elsewhere that might be utilized in Little Rock to implement recommendations. Course of action. Stabilization and mothballing of strategic properties also improves local safety and preserves density on stronger blocks. Strategies include public entities, nonprofit organizations, and private property owners acquiring, stabilizing, and potentially reconveying a property, with or without public assistance.

#### VACANT BUILDING STRATEGIES

**Defer decision:** Other vacant buildings have mixed strengths and weaknesses, and/or are in areas where trends around vacancy **Rehab and reuse:** Vacant buildings that have high architectural and revitalization are unclear. With the understanding that funds character, are in fair or good condition, and are located on low-vacancy blocks with strong subarea contexts are recommended for near-term for intervention may be tapped on executing strategies across reuse. These buildings should be prioritized for rehabilitation dollars the other three recommendation categories, these properties are recommended for a deferred decision. The eventual treatment of and incentives. these buildings largely depends on contextual patterns and trends – including investments made to parcels within other recommendation Strategies in this category include a rehabilitation by a private categories – in the subarea and neighborhood. If extra funding is property owner, with or without public assistance or incentives; available for mothballing, these buildings may be the next in line to acquisition and rehabilitation by a public entity such as the land bank, with reconveyance to a private owner or reuse for a public use; and be boarded up.

Strategies in this category include a rehabilitation by a private property owner, with or without public assistance or incentives; acquisition and rehabilitation by a public entity such as the land bank, with reconveyance to a private owner or reuse for a public use; and acquisition and rehabilitation by a nonprofit organization. Buildings in this category may also be good candidates for relocation if longrange neighborhood plans for the surrounding area change or block stability deteriorates.

**Stabilize and mothball:** Some vacant buildings have high character, condition, and construction quality, but are in areas struggling with higher vacancy levels or other negative factors. Others have less exceptional building-specific qualities but are in more stable areas. Stabilization and mothballing – ensuring properties are secured from vandals, made stable, and kept watertight – is an opportunity to keep key buildings standing while giving the area time to recover and/or providing time for funds to be secured for the next

SUBAREA PERFORMANCE BY CATEGORY



Raze and recycle: Some vacant buildings were built quickly and cheaply, or have been neglected for decades to the point where they are "too far gone." Some are located on blocks with very high levels of vacancy. Buildings in these situations may be recommended for demolition or deconstruction and encouragement for materials recycling.



#### VACANT PARCEL STRATEGIES

**Infill:** Vacant lots in strong areas are good candidates for infill development. This may include a lone vacant lot on a largely intact and stable block, or may be contiguous vacant lots in a stronger area that could be suitable for medium- to large-scale development. Strategies in this category focus on acquisition and reconveyance for construction.

**Contributory reuse**: Vacant lots can also be activated in a way that creates a sense of ownership and adds to local quality of life. For example, a single vacant lot might be acquired by the City and designated as a pocket park, or resold as a side lot to an adjacent property owner. Clustered vacant lots might be reused as the site of a pop-up neighborhood market or a community garden stewarded by a nonprofit. This category of reuse can be achieved with low upfront investment, and can include minimal landscaping, tree planting, benches, or other modest interventions. The toolkit found in the appendix provides examples from other cities where this approach has been successful.

Strategies for this category include straightforward public acquisition and public use with minimal effort or reconveyance for private use, creative partnerships with community or arts groups, and private acquisition and use.

**Defer decision**: Vacant lots in areas with mixed trends or as-of-now unclear patterns are recommended for deferred decision. As with buildings recommended for deferred decision, the eventual use of these parcels should be decided with reference to subarea trends and block evolution down the road. Also, deferred decision recognizes that funds are limited and should be prioritized for vacant lot redevelopment across the other three recommendation categories. The future of these properties should be revisited as markets change, further reinvestments are made, or other trends become clear.

**Environmental reuse**: Vacant lots in higher vacancy areas provide an opportunity to thoughtfully convert land to environmental uses. Potential passive uses include blue-green infrastructure such as stormwater management systems, alternative energy production, or a return to native ecosystems. These interventions can be implemented on single lots or contiguous properties. These uses may be executed by public or private entities. A long-range stewardship plan is essential.

*Left: Vacant land (above) and neighborhood streetscape (below) seen in the Little Rock Relocal subareas* 

# COMMUNITY **PRIORITY SURVEY**

A community survey has always been built in as part of the Relocal process. As originally conceived, the purpose of this component was to determine if a particular neighborhood (or, in the case of Little Rock, a subarea group) placed an emphasis on one or more of the analysis areas (i.e. environment, community engagement, real estate, etc.) than did the other areas. If the survey responses so indicated, we have used any differences to readjust our metric scoring to reflect those local priorities. Based on the more than 200 surveys received, we did make some adjustments based on this local input.

#### ENGAGEMENT

Because there were responses from both residents within the study area and in other areas of Little Rock it was possible to identify any significant differences between those two groups. One of the most striking was a sizable difference in whether people felt engaged in their neighborhood. The survey question was, "Do you feel engaged in the subarea in which you live?" Respondents were then asked how they felt engaged and by far the most common response was "I know my neighbors."

#### **NEIGHBORHOOD VARIABLES**

In some of the questions respondents were asked the importance they attached to certain neighborhood variables ranging from a 1 (Not Important) to 5 (Very Important). Based on a weighted average of the responses, three neighborhood factors emerge as most important to Relocal study area residents as well as residents of other parts of the city and, most notably, there is almost no statistical difference in the responses:

- Safety
- High Quality of Life
- Low Crime Rate

There are, however, neighborhood gualities that are more important to residents within the Relocal study area than for people living elsewhere in Little Rock. There are four particular measures that, while also important elsewhere in the city, are particularly held as valuable in the Relocal study area: a racially and economically diverse population, bike friendly streets, cool places to hang out, and interesting or unique buildings. Arguably those characteristics are more true in this historic study area than in most Little Rock neighborhoods.

But what we have learned in the Relocal process is this survey can also reveal information that can be useful in policy decisions as well as replacing the presumed or "common knowledge" assumptions with more reliable, response-based information. While there were interesting results from each of the 15 guestions asked, below are those either most surprising or potentially the most useful in making decisions by a variety of stakeholders in the Relocal study area of Little Rock.







#### HOW GENERATIONS DIFFER (AND DON'T)

Survey respondents were asked to identify their age within one of four brackets: 20-35, 36-50, 51-65, and 66 and older. Among more than 30 neighborhood characteristics, the top three in importance for all of the age cohorts were the same: Safety, Low Crime Rate, and High Quality of Life. Beyond the top three, however, there emerged interesting differences among the age brackets.

#### Most Important Variable after Safety, Low Crime Rate an

20-35	36-50	51-65	66+
Ability to Easily Walk Places	Neighborhood Look and Feel	Not Many Vacant Buildings	Neighborhood Stability
Close to Parks or Greenspace	Stable Property Values	Stable Property Values	Stable Property Values
Cool Places to Hang Out	Neighborhood Stability	Neighborhood Look and Feel	People Can Remain at Home as they Age
Close to Shops and Restaurants	Close to Parks or Greenspace	Stable Real Estate Market	Ability to Easily Walk Places
Neighborhood Look and Feel	Not Many Vacant Buildings	Neighborhood Stability	Stable Real Estate Market

#### HOW IS THE NEIGHBORHOOD DOING?

Finally a series of guestions were asked which focused not on what people felt were important gualities of the neighborhood, but rather how they thought the neighborhood actually met expectations. Ten statements were given, each of which the respondent answered between a 1 (Strongly Disagree) to a 5 (Strongly Agree). In the graph below the answers are shown for those who lived in Relocal study area and those who live elsewhere in Little Rock.

#### How Are We Doing? Relocal Study Area vs Elsewhere in Little Rock



Overall, Relocal study area residents see their neighborhood as more racially and economically diverse, containing more affordable housing and better for getting around on a bike than do residents from elsewhere in Little Rock. On the other side, study area residents are less satisfied with the amount of green space in the neighborhood and significantly less satisfied with the availability of groceries in the area. Perhaps surprisingly the people who actually live in the neighborhoods feel their neighborhood "feels safe" at nearly the same rate as those who live elsewhere feel about their neighborhoods.

1	d	Hi	gh	Qua	lity	of	Life	
			J		· · · j			

#### **RELOCAL METRICS OVERVIEW**

#### **REAL ESTATE**

Foreclosures **New Construction** Diversity of Unit Size Change in Property Values Unsafe & Vacant Institutionally Owned Land Remodeling/Renovation **Property Sales** Affordability Vacant Buildings Vacant Land

#### STABILITY

Percent Owner Occupied Properties **Demolition Permits** Crime Fire Calls **Economic Integration** Long-Term Residents Neighborhood Pull Population Change (13 yrs) Diversity Signal Population Trends

#### **NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER**

Architectural Character Local Historic District National Register Historic District Quality of Building Stock Condition of Building Stock **Overlay Districts** Public Art Vacant Parcel Use Maintenance of Vacant Parcels Graffiti

#### WALKABILITY

**Bike routes Medical Services** Neighborhood Business District **Public Facilities Community Centers Public Transportation** Proximity to Schools Street Connectivity Sidewalkability Index Sidewalk Presence Sidewalk Condition Traffic Volume Walk Score Walking Trails

#### **FISCAL**

Demolition to Rehabilitation Ratio **Population Density Density Potential** Stranded Investment **Replacement Cost of Public Infrastructure** Tax Delinguency **Property Tax Generation Property Value Per Acre** 

#### **ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY**

Aggregate Household Income Aggregate Household Spending Power Spending Power per Acre **Employment Centers** Foreign Born/Diversity **Neighborhood Business District Unemployment Rate** Available Workforce Small Business

#### ENGAGEMENT

**Neighborhood Associations** Senior Organizations Third Places Voter Registration **Voter Participation Youth Organizations** 

#### **ENVIRONMENT**

Air/Odor Pollution Brownfields Embodied Energy Floodplains Tree Cover Water Resource

Within the eight Relocal categories, 74 metrics were utilized to evaluate each subarea. The majority of metrics yielded a range of scores across subareas. However, some findings were consistent across all five subareas, as outlined below. All subareas had:

- Highest possible score on proximity to public transportation (bus stops)
- High air quality as an environmental metric
- High scores in street connectivity, meaning short blocks with many intersections, which is desirable for walkability
- A low share of jobs in small firms and startups out of the city as a whole
- Significantly lower foreign-born residents than the city as whole
- Low economic integration, with high concentrations of lowincome residents in each subarea as compared to the city as a whole
- Low graffiti counts, meaning very little graffiti was identified during the field survey



#### SUBAREA SCORES WITHIN EACH RELOCAL CATEGORY



For more detail on specific metrics, how they were measured, or where data was collected from, please reference the appendix on Relocal Metrics Explained at the end of this report.



# CENTRAL

Architectural Character

## **OF CENTRAL'S BUILDING 84%** PERMITS ARE FOR REHABILITATION AND RENOVATION





The Central subarea is bounded by I-630 on the north, Main Street on the east, South Chester St on west, and West Roosevelt Road to the south.

Central scored highest among all subareas. It particularly excelled across the categories of walkability, engagement, environment, and scored well in neighborhood character. Central has the highest number of parcel recommendations of rehab and reuse (40 total) as well as the highest number, by far, of parcel recommendations for infill of vacant lots (80 total). Central's good architectural stock, proximity to Dunbar Community Center, and walkability to Main Street among other factors makes it a prime location for focusing rehab and development dollars.

### REAL ESTATE

Central did well above average in the real estate category. Reinvestment is happening in Central, with 84% of total building permits going towards renovation and rehabilitation. Citywide, this figure is 66%. Relative to other subareas, Central has a large percentage of institutionally owned developable land with no buildings, a metric that indicates an opportunity to leverage institutional entities' roles in communities to reactivate vacant land. Central also scored well in the affordability metric, which looks at affordability as the combined costs of housing and transportation consuming no more than 45% of income. Notably, Central also scored well in vacant lot and vacant building metrics, with vacant lots accounting for 8% of its total parcels and only 7% of buildings identified as vacant through the field survey.

## STABILITY 🌔

Central scored a bit below average in the stability category. One indicator for stability is owner occupancy rates, which in Central is 37% – second lowest among the subareas – as compared to 57% citywide. Long term residency shows 32% of Central's residents have lived in the area 15 years or longer, while a majority having moved in since 2000. Central's demolition permits are 6% of all permits in the subarea, which is on par with the city's average of 7%. Racial diversity in Central is strongest among all subareas, and mirrors the city's diversity as whole, a strong indicator of area stability. However, Central has lost residents across key age demographics of both 18-34 and 35-64 year olds.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER 🕥

Central scored above average in the neighborhood character category. Central scored high in the architectural character, building quality, and building condition metrics. While Central has no local historic districts, 24% of properties are within the Capitol Zoning District and 71% of properties are in a National Register district. Of all parcels in Central, only 18% are land without buildings, meaning the majority of parcels have a structure on the lot. Of the total parcels without buildings, 30% were identified as being a park, garden, or attached side lot in Central – twice as much as each of the other subareas – as opposed to a vacant lot or parking lot. And 68% of all parcels without a building were labeled as having good maintenance.

### WALKABILITY 🚺

Central scored above average in the walkability category, and received the highest score of all subareas. In Central, 78% of all occupied properties are within 1/2 mile of a community or neighborhood resource center, 72% are within 1/4 mile of a school, and 73% are within 1/4 mile of public facilities like museums, libraries, police and fire stations. Additionally, 95% of Central is served by sidewalks, 79% of which are either in good or fair condition. In the sidewalkability metric, Central had the highest ratio of linear feet of sidewalk to road, which ideally would be a ratio of 2:1 signifying sidewalk on both sides of the street.



Central scored average in the fiscal category. Central's rehabilitation to demolition ratio was 15 to 1, demonstrating that reinvestment is happening within the subarea at nearly twice the rate of the city as a whole. Central also generates high property tax revenues at just over \$1.5 million, though its tax delinguency rate was highest among the subareas. Central had strong population density scores when looking at population, households, and residential buildings per acre. Central's annual stranded investment is \$87,778, second lowest among the subareas, indicating that its high density means relatively little underutilized infrastructure not generating revenue. Central also has nearly twice the property value per acre as Little Rock on the whole.



**Stranded Investment**: When infrastructure that is built to serve neighborhood capacity is not being fully utilized because a house or lot sits vacant, it creates a "stranded investment." In other words, it is an investment that is not being fully utilized.

#### ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

Central scored above average in the economic opportunity category. The median household income in Central is \$28,470, the highest among subareas. The aggregate household income is \$30.2 million annually within the subarea, and Central's aggregate spending power is \$44.45 million. Though quite low across all subareas, Central had the highest percentage of foreign-born residents, a metric of population diversity. Central's unemployment rate was second lowest at 10%.

**Spending power** comes from the consumer expenditure survey. It is much better for understanding the potential opportunity on the neighborhood level than aggregate household income.

#### ENGAGEMENT

Central did very well in engagement metrics, scoring 91% of the total possible score for the engagement category. Central contains ten "third places" identified by the community priority survey, the greatest of any subarea. Voter registration and participation were also high scoring. Central is proximal to Dunbar Community Center, which serves as both a youth and senior center in addition community gathering place.

#### ENVIRONMENT

Central scored above average in the environment category. Central has no identified air pollution, no brownfields within the subarea, and has no properties within a floodplain. It enjoys good tree cover, though low walkability to water resources.

# **G** OF RESIDENTS IN EAST HAVE LIVED IN THE AREA 15 YEARS OR LONGER

# EAST

**13%** AVAILABLE WORKFORCE IN EAST, WHICH INCLUDES PEOPLE BETWEEN THE AGES OF 16 AND 65 WHO ARE NEITHER WORKING OR LOOKING FOR WORK. AVAILABLE WORKFORCE PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY FOR LOCAL ACTIVATION.





The East subarea has two segments. It includes Hanger Hill as bounded by E. 5th St on the north, I-30 to the west, railroad tracks to the east, and E. 17th to the south, as well as the area south of MacArthur Park bounded by Main Street to the west, E. 15th to the north, I-30 to the east, and W. Roosevelt Rd to the south.

East had the lowest total score among the subareas. While East scores among the highest subareas in environment and its lower segment enjoys proximity to Main Street, it suffers from low engagement and economic opportunities, as well as generally lower architectural character and construction guality of its buildings.

#### REAL ESTATE

Overall, East scored below average in the real estate category. East scored well on the affordability metric and had slightly fewer foreclosures than the city as a whole, 5% to the city's 6%. Among all subareas, East did have the highest percent of vacant buildings in proportion to their total building count at 14%, and 29% of parcels in East are composed of vacant lots. Of all building permits in East, 69% are for renovation and rehabilitation and 13% are for new construction, the latter being among the highest across subareas.

## STABILITY Đ

East scored right at average for the stability category. East had slightly higher crime and fire call rates than the city as whole, but comparatively little across subareas. The homeownership rate of East is 47% as compared to 53% renters, but 49% of residents in East have lived in the area longer than 15 years. This implies there's a strong contingent of residents who are invested in the area in a long-term way. While East lost residents in the age cohort of 18-34 from 2000-

2013, the area gained residents in the 35-64 age group, which signals that East is increasingly being considered a place to plant roots by residents in this key stability age bracket. However, of all building permits in East, 18% were for demolition.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER 🛃

East scored below average for neighborhood character. Only 7% of East's buildings were categorized as high or landmark character from the field survey and 30% of buildings identified as medium character. To condition, 69% of all buildings were labeled as either being good or very good condition. Only 7% of East properties fall within a National Register district, and none are within a local historic district. Notably, nearly 39% of East's total parcels consist of land without buildings, and of those 75% are vacant lots (as opposed to parks, side lots, or gardens). This presents an opportunity to reactivate lots using a mix of interventions on vacant land.

### WALKABILITY

East scored slightly below average in walkability. In East, 55% of all occupied properties are within 1/2 mile of a community or neighborhood resource center, 58% are within 1/4 mile of a school, and 41% are within 1/4 mile of public facilities. Just 68% of properties in East had a sidewalk, and only 39% of those were in good or fair condition, which makes walking safely a challenge for pedestrians and limits mobility for the handicapped.



East was on par with the average score for fiscal. Though East had the lowest annual property tax revenue, it also had particularly low tax delinguency. East's rehabilitation to demolition ratio is 3.8 to 1, which signifies a higher proportion of demos occurring as opposed to reinvestment across subareas. East scores high in the replacement cost of infrastructure metric, with nearly \$10 million per acre to replace current sewer pipes and other infrastructure. This figure, coupled with East's overall \$302,269 of annual stranded investment, paints a picture of significantly underutilized infrastructure that would be costly to replace, and thus interventions that support the reutilization of this existing investment makes sense fiscally.



Replacement Cost of Infrastructure identifies the cost of replacing existing public infrastructure investments, indicating an exisiting investment that should be considered when determining where to direct future development.

#### ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY 🌎

East had the lowest score for the economic opportunity category among the subareas. Its median household income was \$23,262, second only to North Central. Even though East's aggregate spending power was the lowest among subareas at \$30 million, that is a sizeable sum of money available to support the local economy. East's unemployment rate was 13% and available workforce was also 13% of the population.

#### ENGAGEMENT 🌔

Representation from neighborhood associations was low in East, and this subarea also contained no youth or senior organizations. However, five "third places" were identified within the area, meaning there are locations within the subarea local citizens have identified as gathering places.

*Third places* are informal community gathering places such as coffee shops, bookstores, or bars. They provide safe places for people to casually meet and interact with friends, neighbors, and strangers. Third places are derived directly from the Community Priority Survey.

ENVIRONMENT 🔂



17

# NORTH CENTRAL

**NORTH CENTRAL HAD THE LOWEST PROPORTION OF VACANT LOTS TO TOTAL PARCELS IN THE SUBAREA** 





\* Numbers do not total 100% due to rounding

**OF NORTH CENTRAL'S BUILDINGS WERE IDENTIFIED AS** HAVING HIGH OR LANDMARK ARCHITECTURAL CHARACTER

North Central has the smallest land area of Relocal's subareas and is closest to downtown. It contains buildings with notably high character. North Central encompasses MacArthur Park, and is bounded by E. 5th on the north, 1-30 on the east, E. 15th St on the south, and Main Street on the west that that jogs up to Cumberland at E. 9th St.

### REAL ESTATE

North Central scored the highest among subareas in the real estate category, as measured by the percentage of possible scoring across the category's metrics. Specifically, North Central had the lowest rate of foreclosures among subareas at 4%. Of total building permits, 90% of North Central's permits were for renovation and rehabilitation. North Central's houses tend to be larger, with 61% being greater than 1,950 square feet. The result is a lower relative score for property size diversity. Among subareas, North Central had the lowest percent (8%) of vacant lots to overall parcels.

### STABILITY 😑

North Central scored average in the stability category. There is a clear neighborhood draw to North Central, as seen across the board in numerous metrics, and this has mixed consequences for neighborhood stability. While 94% of residents have moved to North Central since 2000 – indicating a highly attractive neighborhood – only 6% of residents in North Central have lived in the area longer than 15 years (by far the lowest figure across subareas) signifying low long-term resident stability. North Central is 83% rental as compared to 17% home ownership. North Central is the only subarea to have gained population from 2000-2013 in both the 18-34 and 35-64 age cohorts, signifying its draw for both younger mobile residents and those looking to settle down. In particular, while Little Rock gained 5% of 18-34 year olds from 2000-2013 and all other subareas lost populations in this cohort, North Central gained 26%. North Central also had the lowest percent of demolition permits of all subareas, with only 3% of the total number of permits subarea-wide.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER 🗲

North Central scored far above average for neighborhood character, Among the subareas, North Central scored the highest in the economic achieving 94% of the total possible score. North Central scored very opportunity category. It had the lowest median household income of high on architectural character and condition metrics, and average \$22,323, but aggregate spending power is \$36.5 million within a on construction quality. As the only subarea to contain a local historic compact subarea. North Central scores well as an employment center, district, North Central got a boost as an area with strong protections for with 105% of jobs per person (meaning, more jobs than residents). historic properties, 94% of which are also within a National Register This subarea also scores well in the neighborhood business district historic district. North Central also had the highest count of public art metric and has the highest concentration of clustered businesses. by twofold. Of all North Central's parcels, only 21% are land without Unemployment rate is 11% with an available workforce of 12% of the buildings, and of those, only 40% are vacant lots - the lowest of all population. North Central contains 4% of all jobs in small firms and subareas. The other 60% of land without buildings is composed of startups citywide, highest among subareas. parking lots, parks, gardens, or side lots.

#### WALKABILITY 🌔

North Central scored below average for walkability. In North Central, 0% of buildings are within 1/4 mile of a community or neighborhood resource center and only 17% are within 1/2 mile. Additionally, 57% of buildings are within 1/4 mile of a school, but 100% are within 1/4 mile of public facilities like museums and libraries. For sidewalks, 94% of all properties in North Central are serviced by a sidewalk, and 77% of those are in good or fair condition. This speaks well for the walkability and pedestrian safety of the subarea.



North Central scored above average and highest among the subareas in fiscal. Most notably is the rehabilitation to demolition permit ratio of 26.7 to 1, evidencing significantly more reinvestment in built resources than the removal of them. North Central has the lowest annual stranded investment of just under \$45,000 which signifies that the subarea is maximizing its infrastructure investment through maintaining residential and population density, a metric in which North Central also scores well. North Central also has the highest property values per acre of all the subareas, over twice as much as the citywide average.



#### ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY 🚮

**Public Facilities** include museums, libraries, fire and police stations, and are a metric within Walkability. City-recognized **Community Centers and Neighborhood Resource Centers** are also a metric within that category. Both are assessed by how many properties in each subarea are within 1/4 or 1/2 mile of them.

#### ENGAGEMENT

North Central contains one senior organization – one of only two active centers identified within the entire study area. Seven "third places" were identified in North Central through the community priority survey, second only to Central. North Central has neighborhood representation via the Downtown Neighborhood Association.

#### ENVIRONMENT

North Central scored above average in environment. As with East, North Central contains one brownfield site in need of cleanup, but it provides an opportunity to leverage regional and national resources to get those sites back into productive use. North Central has the lowest percentage of tree coverage of all subareas, but not by much. And 100% of properties in North Central are within a 1/2 mile of a water resource.

# SOUTH

\$52.7 M IN AGGREGATE SPENDING POWER IN SOUTH, SECOND HIGHEST AMONG SUBAREAS



### The South subarea is bounded by West Roosevelt Road to the north, I-30 to the east, Fourche Creek on the south, and a zigzag of streets on the west (each only a block or two long) that include S. Battery, S. Summit, S. Schiller, S. Wolfe, and Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. REAL ESTATE 🌔

South scored the lowest among subareas in the real estate category. Though equivalent to the city as a whole, South had the lowest ratio of rehabilitation and renovation permits to total building permits across the subareas. Of the total parcels in South, 28% are composed of vacant lots, and 13% of all buildings in South are vacant. South had the lowest change is property values from 2006 to 2014, and also had a 1% lower rate of foreclosures than the city as a whole.

## STABILITY 💋

South scored above average in the stability category, and scored highest among all subareas. This is due to a few metrics in particular. The homeownership rate in South is highest among the subareas at 57%, which is an exact mirror to the city as a whole - an indicator of neighborhood stability. Additionally, 77% of South's residents have lived in the neighborhood for more than 15 years, the highest among all subareas, indicating a high level of long-term investment and stewardship within the neighborhood. And, while South lost a significant portion of population from 2000-2010, it has rebounded and at a greater rate than other subareas from 2010-2013. However, demolition permits were highest in South, representing 19% of all permits subarea-wide. South has lost significant population within the 18-34 age cohort from 2000-2013, but has gained 1% in that same timeframe of 35-64 year olds.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER 🌄

South scored below average in the neighborhood character category. Only 5% of South's buildings scored a high or landmark character, with 65% of buildings identified as low character. Additionally, 57% of South's buildings were labeled as good or very good condition, with 33% labeled as fair. None of South's properties are within a historic district locally or on the National Register, and no overlay districts were identified within South. No public art was identified in South. Of all of South's parcels, 36% are composed of land without a building, and 79% of those are vacant lots – the highest of all subareas – as opposed to other uses like parking lots, parks, or gardens. Of the parcels without a building, 58% were in poor or fair condition. As with East, this presents an opportunity to initiate vacant lot interventions that benefit local residents

#### WALKABILITY 🔂

South scored above average in walkability. South enjoys short blocks and good street connectivity, which makes for more desirable walkability. In South, 19% of buildings are within 1/4 mile of a school and 65% are within 1/2 mile, the lowest percentage of school proximity of all subareas. Similarly, 19% of buildings are within 1/4 mile of a community or neighborhood resource center and 67% within 1/2 mile. And 48% of buildings are within 1/4 mile of public facilities. However, South has the lowest percent of properties serviced by a sidewalk at 54%, and of those sidewalks, only 25% are in good or fair condition. This represents a real challenge for mobility and safety for pedestrians.



South scored below average in the fiscal category. South's rehab to demo permit ratio was the lowest among the subareas at 3.6 to 1. South's exisiting infrastructure has both a high replacement cost (at over \$13 million per acre) and an annual stranded investment of





nearly \$419,000, signaling a significant underutilization of existing infrastructure investments that would also be costly to replace. South scored second lowest across subareas for population density. South's property value per acre is \$148,499, the lowest among the subareas.

#### ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

South scored below average in the economic opportunity category, in large part because there are few employers in South relative to its population and geographic size. However, while the median household income is just under \$27,000, the aggregate household income is the largest among the subareas at \$41.3 million due to its large resident population. Moreover, South's aggregate spending power is second highest at \$52.7 million, meaning there is a great deal of expendable income in South that can be leveraged to stimulate the local economy. South is not a home to immigrant populations and had 0% foreign-born population. Its unemployment rate was 15%, while its available workforce was lowest at 9%.

#### ENGAGEMENT 🔂

South scored above average on engagement. South had the strongest representation in active neighborhood associations, but was the only subarea with zero "third places" identified in the community priority survey. South has one of only two youth organizations identified within the study area, but despite a large number of seniors in the subarea, does not contain a senior organization.

#### ENVIRONMENT

South Scored below average for environment. While South enjoys good air quality and the highest percentage of tree coverage among subareas, 27% of South's properties are in high-risk floodways. South has no unremediated brownfields.

# WEST

# \$52.8 IN AGGREGATE SPENDING POWER IN WEST





West contains historic Central High School and is the largest of the subareas geographically. West is bounded by W. Roosevelt Rd on the south, railroad tracks behind Central High on the west, I-630 on the north, and Chester St. on the east.

#### REAL ESTATE 🌔

West scored below average in the real estate category. West had the highest percent of vacant buildings to total buildings at 15%, with 21% of all parcels comprised of vacant lots. However, West scored highest in diversity of unit size, implying that the area has a range of unit sizes available to accommodate a diversity of people, incomes, and families. Additionally, 78% of all building permits in West are for rehabilitation or renovation, but that is juxtaposed with little new construction, which registers at only 6% of total building permits. Also in West, 11% of total acreage is developable, unbuilt, and institutionally owned land, offering an opportunity to leverage local institutions for activation of underutilized land.

Educational, medical, or religious insitutions, among others, have a stake and presence in the city and community, serve as employment hubs, and have economic impacts locally. *Institutionally owned land* presents an opportunity to reactivate vacant parcels in partnership with local stakeholders.

## STABILITY 🛃

West scored below average in the stability category. West has a relatively high rate of homeownership (51%) and a high percent of

long-time residents (69%). However, West has a low percentage of new residents (since 2000) coming into the neighborhood, and scored poorly on racial diversity and economic integration. Additionally, 16% of all building permits in West are for demolition. Fire and crime call rates are twice as high as the city as a whole, and notably higher than other subareas.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER 🔂

West scored above average in the economic opportunity category. West scored just above average in neighborhood character. Of all Median household income is \$26,466 with an aggregated household buildings in West, 40% were identified as medium character and income of \$39.6 million. Moreover, the aggregate spending power in 13% as high or landmark. West's construction guality was the highest West is highest among subareas at \$52.8 million. Out of total jobs in among subareas, and 68% of buildings were identified as being in Little Rock, West contains 2% of small firms and startup jobs in the city. good or very good condition. In West, 19% of buildings have some West has the highest ratio of jobs per person, with nearly 1.5 jobs per sort of overlay district, though no local historic district. West is home each resident, though its unemployment rate is the highest among to the landmark Central High School, and 41% of all properties in this the subareas at 21%. This disparity presents an opportunity to better align employers in West with unemployed local residents regarding subarea are within a National Register historic district. job prospects.

#### WALKABILITY

West scored above average in walkability. In West, 75% of buildings have sidewalks present, and of those 48% are in good or fair condition, implying that while sidewalk presence is relatively good, maintenance is needed. West scored second highest in proximity to community or neighborhood resource centers, with 93% of all buildings falling within 1/2 mile. Over half (57%) of buildings are within 1/4 mile of public facilities like libraries, parks, and fire and police stations. West also scores well in school proximity, with 64% of buildings falling within ¼ mile of a local school.



West scored average in fiscal. West had the lowest population density score among the subareas, but generates \$1.5 million in annual property tax revenue with very little property tax delinquency. West's infrastructure replacement cost is over \$33 million per acre



(almost three times more than South), an existing asset that should be utilized. It has nearly \$500,000 of annual stranded investment, indicative of the low population density and population loss that results in underutilized infrastructure. Property value per acre in West is \$178,500.

#### **ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY**

ENGAGEMENT

**ENVIRONMENT** 

West is well represented by active neighborhood organizations, including the Central High Neighborhood Association and the Wright Avenue Neighborhood Association, and scored high in this engagement metric. Voter registration is also high at 92% of the population of eligible voters. Three "third places" were identified in West.



West also scored below average in environment. West has the lowest percentage of properties within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile of a water resource, but it does enjoy good tree coverage, no air pollution or brownfields. However, 8% of West's properties are at high-risk within a floodway.



# **RELOCAL FIELD** SURVEY

The Relocal field survey is an important component of the study and serves two key roles:

1) To gather data that informs numerous Relocal metrics

2) To meaningfully engage local residents and stakeholders

Relocal's field survey involves collecting data on current neighborhood and property conditions that inform metrics Each volunteer used their smartphone or tablet to execute across categories, with particular emphasis on metrics within the survey across each subarea. All surveyors were trained neighborhood character. The field survey also serves the extensively and sent into the field in pairs or trios, and each important role of identifying the vacant buildings and vacant group was equipped with a field manual on questions, answer lots on which Relocal's final recommendations are based. The choices with photos, and technical support. Additionally, each individual was supplied with FAQ sheets to hand out to curious field survey utilizes volunteers as boots on the ground, and smartphone technology enables them to execute the survey local residents and property owners they would encounter while surveying. These FAQ sheets provided background on swiftly and efficiently. the Relocal project, contact information for questions, and The Relocal field survey uses a web-based survey platform directed recipients to the Community Priority Survey as a means to be involved.

called LocalData that can be utilized on any smartphone or tablet. LocalData enables a surveyor to locate each property easily - with an interface akin to Google satellite view and with each parcel clearly outlined – and then to take a photo of the property and answer a series of questions about it, starting with whether there is a building on site. If a building is present, questions will follow as to its architectural character, building condition, and occupancy. If no building is present, questions follow about the use of the land and its maintenance. Questions are also asked about each property's sidewalk presence and condition, and whether public art or graffiti is present, among others.

In Little Rock, the Relocal field survey took place September 8-12, 2015. A call for local survey volunteers was circulated by the City of Little Rock, Quapaw Quarter Association, Preserve Arkansas, and the Arkansas Historic Preservation Program, among others. Local news coverage helped to spread the word as well.

*Left: Little Rock volunteer surveyors* out in the field using smartphones to gather data for Relocal.

As a result, over 50 local volunteers came out to survey over five days. Their backgrounds included finance, IT, business, public relations, real estate, urban planning, architecture, and historic preservation. Some volunteers were students while others retired, and still others took off of work to be a part of the project. Many lived in historic homes or loved history or had an affinity for older buildings.

In total, nearly 8,000 parcels were surveyed in the Relocal study area, providing an important foundation for the project. The field survey is not only key to gathering data, it provides opportunities for local residents to feel engaged: with the Relocal project and process; with local organizations and their efforts; and with each other.

NORTH WEST CENTRAL CENTRAL EAST SOUTH Vacant Buildings Vacant Lots ALL IDENTIFIED VACANT LOTS AND VACANT **BUILDINGS THROUGHOUT THE STUDY AREA** 

The Relocal study area overall contained good building stock a high proportion of character-rich buildings, although these primarily concentrated in the Central and North Central subar Concentrations of high and landmark character buildings are seen in West, with scattered sites throughout East and South. Th patterns are echoed in parcel level recommendations. Of the to vacant buildings per subarea, the highest percentage recommend for rehabilitation are located in Central and North Central, as the highest percentage of vacant lots recommended for i development.

Rehabilitation and stabilization are recommended for vac buildings on generally intact blocks with high character, fair to ge condition, and medium to high construction quality while demoli focuses on lower-quality buildings or buildings in largely vac areas. Infill is a sound strategy for vacant parcels on particularly stro blocks, but many parcels are recommended for measures that will return the parcel to a contributory reuse or as an environmental asset, thereby improving quality of life in the immediate area. Qualities used to determine vacant lot strategies include parcel level conditions and the amount of vacancy on its surrounding block as well as subarea outcomes. Where deferred decision is recommended, it is an opportunity to focus limited resources on other properties and that these are sites whose future use may be contingent on the impact of other nearby investments or changes.



and are reas.	As discussed in the introduction, vacant buildings are classified into four opportunity categories:
also hese total nded s are	<ul> <li>Rehab and reuse</li> <li>Stabilize and mothball</li> <li>Defer decision</li> <li>Raze and recycle</li> </ul>
infill	Vacant parcels are also classified into four opportunity categories:
icant good ition icant rong	<ul> <li>Infill</li> <li>Contributory reuse</li> <li>Defer decision</li> <li>Environmental reuse</li> </ul>

# CENTRAL

Central had strong scores in metrics across the real estate, neighborhood character, walkability, and engagement categories in particular. Overall, Central's buildings had high character, construction quality, and condition. Its predominance of building permits for rehabilitation and renovation show investment is happening in the existing building stock. Central's proximity to neighborhood centers, businesses, and schools as well as good sidewalk presence and condition heighten the area's desirability. The area's low vacancy rate and relatively low percentage of vacant lot land coupled with high population density and strong property values per acre paint a picture of an area ripe for reinvestment.

Central contains 9% of the vacant buildings across the study area with a total of 61 vacant buildings identified in the field survey. Forty-one of those are recommended for near-term rehabilitation, while 15 are recommended for stabilization and 4 for deferred decision. Only one building is recommended for raze and recycle.

PARCEL MAP OF CENTRAL WITH VACANT

In Central, 15% of the subarea's acreage is composed of its 95 vacant lots. Central's high rate of rehabilitation recommendations is complemented by a high rate of recommendations for infill development on vacant lots. In Central, 83 lots are recommended for infill, 12 for contributory reuse, and none for environmental reuse or deferred decision.

# **BUILDING RECOMMENDATIONS** 9 W 17th St Roosevelt Ave Rehab and Reuse Stabilize and Mothball Defer Decision Raze and Recycle



#### PARCEL MAP OF CENTRAL WITH VACANT LOT RECOMMENDATIONS



East scored within average range in the stability and fiscal categories, with relatively high population density and long-term residency, low tax delinguency, and a slight increase in population from 2010-2013. While East scored well in the environmental category, the area scored below average across all other categories. In East there are relatively few economic and engagement opportunities, and East's buildings had lower scores across architectural character, condition, and quality relative to other subareas. Additionally, 29% of East's total parcels are composed of vacant lots, and remaining parcels without buildings are being used for parking lots and side lots, as well as a small number of parks and gardens, or some other uses.

East has 97 vacant buildings identified from the field survey. Of those, 6 buildings were recommended for rehab, 21 for stabilization and mothballing, 28 for deferred decision, and 42 for raze and recycle.

East contained 330 vacant lots identified by the field survey, which is 19% of the total number of vacant lots across the study area -- a relatively high concentration for one of the geographically smaller subareas. East's high concentration of vacant lots creates an opportunity for alternative uses for that land. Eighteen of East's vacant lots were recommended for infill, 89 were recommended for contributory reuse – such as side lots, pocket parks, or urban gardens - 93 for deferred decision, and 130 for environmental reuse.





# **NORTH CENTRAL**

North Central scored well across real estate, neighborhood character, fiscal, economic opportunity, and environmental categories. North Central has a strong neighborhood pull as indicated by few foreclosures, the highest number of single family property sales among subareas, a high number of rehabilitation and renovation permits, and an influx of residents in the working age cohorts of 18-64, as well as other metrics. This signifies the subarea is offering attractive features for people across age ranges. Additionally, North Central's neighborhood character score was superb, as evidenced by high architectural character and condition of buildings, as well local protection of historic assets.

In North Central there were 19 vacant buildings identified through the field survey. Of those, 15 are recommended for rehabilitation, 3 for stabilization and mothballing, 1 as deferred decision, and none for raze and recycle.

PARCEL MAP OF NORTH CENTRAL WITH

North Central had 25 vacant lots identified by the field surveyors, which is just over 1% of the total vacant lots across the study area. Of those, 6 were recommended for infill development and 19 were recommended for contributory reuse, such as a side lot split, neighborhood market, or pocket park. None were recommended for deferred decision or environmental reuse.

## VACANT BUILDING RECOMMENDATIONS E Capitol Ave St E 15th St Rehab and Reuse Stabilize and Mothball **Defer Decision** Raze and Recycle





South has strong resident engagement, with multiple neighborhood associations servicing the area, and the importance of engagement was echoed in the results of the community priority survey where residents from the subarea indicated that engagement mattered significantly more to them than in other subareas. South has a strong long-term resident population as well as a high percentage of owner-occupied buildings, indicators of deep-rooted residents in the neighborhood. South also has had some population rebounding since 2010. However, South had the highest percentage of low character vacant buildings, and overall scored the lowest on neighborhood character. Economic opportunities are few in South, there are fewer institutional property owners to leverage, and property sales were lowest in South among all subareas.

In South there were 189 vacant buildings as identified in the field survey. Of those, 11 were recommended for near-term rehabilitation, 51 for stabilization and mothballing, 49 for deferred decision, and 78 for raze and recycle.

South has 655 vacant lots identified by the field survey, which is 38% of all the vacant lots identified across the study area. Of those, 25 are recommended for infill, 282 for contributory reuse, 145 for deferred decision, and 203 for environmental reuse.

#### PARCEL MAP OF SOUTH WITH VACANT **BUILDING RECOMMENDATIONS**









West scored well across fiscal and walkability categories as well as metrics within neighborhood character and economic opportunity. Properties in West are proximate to schools, community centers, and public facilities. West's high replacement cost for infrastructure means there's a great deal of investment already made, and thus strategic rehabilitation and stabilization of properties as well as infill development will help capitalize on that existing investment. Additionally, West's high scoring across architectural character, condition, and quality as well as high proportion of rehabilitation and renovation permits signify a desirable built environment. West also had the widest diversity of unit sizes of all the subareas, which

indicates a range of residential options for families and individuals of all sizes. The area is well served by active neighborhood associations by providing multiple opportunities for engagement.

In West, there were 297 vacant buildings identified by the field survey, the largest share of vacant buildings among the subareas. Of those, 39 are recommended for rehabilitation, 117 are recommended for stabilization and mothballing, 112 for deferred decision, and 30 for raze and recycle.

In West, 21% of its parcels are composed of vacant lots totalling 634 as identified by the field survey. Of those, 165 are recommended for infill, 303 for contributory reuse, 115 for deferred decision, and 51 for environmental reuse.









## PARCEL-LEVEL STRATEGY TOOLKIT TOOLS DEFINITIONS RELOCAL METRICS EXPLAINED

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

#### **REHAB AND REUSE**

#### Strategies

- Market transaction 2
- Public acquisition and reconveyance -
- Public acquisition, rehabilitation and
  - reconveyance
- Public or nonprofit rehabilitation and use
- Public or nonprofit assistance to private owner
- Private rehabilitation and use 2
- Move building to parcel recommended for infill

Little Rock's Model Block Program Save-A-Home Program Stop the Demolitions, Little Rock Main Street Creative Corridor HOME Program Community Development Block Grant HUD's Dollar Homes Program State Rehabilitation Tax Credit Code Enforcement

#### **STABILIZE AND MOTHBALL**

#### Strategies

- Public or nonprofit acquisition, stabilization, and potential reconveyance
- Public or nonprofit assistance to private owner
- Public or nonprofit stabilization
- Private acquisition, stabilization, and
- potential reconveyance
- Private stabilization

#### RAZE AND RECYCLE

#### Strategies

- Public or nonprofit acquisition, removal, and potential reconveyance or use
- Private acquisition, removal, and potential reconveyance or use
- Private removal and potential reconveyance or use

Receivership

- Code Enforcement
- Inmate Training in Pine Bluff



#### PARCEL-LEVEL STRATEGY TOOLKIT

#### Local/Statewide/National Tools

#### **Best Practices Used in Other Cities/States**

Obsolete Building Rehabilitation Act (MI) Abandoned Building Revitalization Act (SC) St. Louis Preservation Fund (MO) Providence EveryHome Program (RI) Detroit Land Bank Authority Vacant Home Auction (MI) Detroit Land Bank Authority's Rehabbed & Ready (MI) Expert House Movers (General)

#### Local/Statewide/National Tools

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)

#### **Best Practices Used in Other Cities/States**

Mothballing (General) Vacant Property Registry (OH)

#### Local/Statewide/National Tools

Demolition of Unsafe Residential Structures Habitat for Humanity Deconstruction Services Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)

#### **Best Practices Used in Other Cities/States**

Deconstruction Training Programs Strategic Demolition

#### INFILL

#### Strategies

- Public acquisition and reconveyance
- Private acquisition and construction
- Nonprofit acquisition and construction

#### Local/Statewide/National Tools

Little Rock's Model Block Program Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) New Markets Tax Credits (NMTC) Program Serve as site for moved building

Local/Statewide/National Tools

Little Rock Land Bank Commission

Community Gardens on Vacant Lots

Local/Statewide/National Tools

(RLF)

EPA Brownfields Revolving Loan Funding

Fit 2 Live Community Gardens

#### **Best Practices Used in Other Cities/States**

The Infill Design Toolkit: Medium Density Residential Development (OR) Infill Development Standards and Policy Guide (General)

Compatible Infill Design: Principles for New Construction in Oregon's Historic Districts (OR)

#### CONTRIBUTORY REUSE

#### Strategies

- Public acquisition and reconveyance
- Partnerships with community/nonprofits/arts groups
- Private acquisition and construction

#### **Best Practices Used in Other Cities/States**

Memphis Mow-to-Own Program (TN) Philadelphia Land Care Program (PA) Exercise Lots in Detroit (MI) Vacant to Vibrant (IN, NY, OH) Lots of Green (OH) Afterhouse (MI) BioCellar (OH) Working With Lots: A Field Guide (MI)

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL REUSE**

#### Strategies

- Public acquisition and reconveyance
- Partnerships with community/non-profits/arts groups
- Private acquisition and construction

**Best Practices Used in Other Cities/States** 

Vacant to Vibrant (IN, NY, OH) Afterhouse (MI) BioCellar (OH) Working With Lots: A Field Guide (MI) Geothermal Wells (General: OH)

#### Abandoned Building Revitalization Act (ABRA)

The Palmetto Trust for Historic Preservation, a statewide partner of the National Trust for Historic Preservation, created an initiative and allows an income tax credit of up to 25 percent of the expenses involved in rehabilitating any income-producing building (historic or otherwise) that has been at least two-thirds vacant for five years or more. The act was supported by a coalition of preservationists, community activists, fire and police departments, and elected officials, including the mayor. Legislation was passed in 2013 and the incentive is capped at \$500,000.

www.masc.sc/pages/legislative/sc-abandoned-buildingsrevitalization-act.aspx

#### Afterhouse

Detroit Afterhouse is a project conceived by the Architectural Research Collaborative (ARCHOLAB) focused on demolishing the worst of the worst buildings in Detroit and using foundations as subterranean geothermal greenhouses.

archolab.com/archives/445

#### BioCellar

A project out of the Cleveland Urban Design Collaborative, "the BioCellar initiative proposes to salvage the most valuable part of a derelict house-it's masonry foundation. An existing foundation wal surrounded by earth, is an insulated container that can store energy and serve a variety of productive functions such as greenhouses, solar collectors, aquaculture facilities, stormwater filtration, and oth new uses.

www.cudc.kent.edu/projects\_research/projects/biocellar.html

#### Code Enforcement

The City of Little Rock employs Code Enforcement Officers to addre complaints regarding trash, high grass and weeds, overgrown lots, illegal dumping and non-running automobiles on private property



d	Code Enforcement Officers also enforce ordinances regarding graffiti and vacant, unsecured, residential structures, as well as dilapidated structures.
	www.littlerock.org/citydepartments/housing_programs/ neighborhoodprograms
	<b>Community Development Block Grant</b> Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding was created by Congress with Passage of Title I of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1974. CDBG Program is intended to benefit principally low- and moderate-income persons, elininate slum and blight, and address the needs that result from natural disasters.
	www.littlerock.org/citydepartments/housing_programs/cdbg_ housing/
	<b>Community gardens on previously vacant lots (Little Rock)</b> A number of community gardens have sprung up in vacant lots around Little Rock, including: Scott-Bussy Urban Garden; and Woodruff Community Garden; Victory Garden Project.
II,	<i>boileddownjuice.com/dealing-with-drought-portraits-from-three- little-rock-community-gardens/</i>
Jy her	<b>Compatible Infill Design: Principles for New</b> <b>Construction in Oregon's Historic Districts</b> This is a special report created by the Historic Preservation League of Oregon in 2011. The report includes criteria for successful guidelines, implementation strategy, and recommended approach of regulations combined with incentives and added rights for property owners
ess , y.	restoreoregon.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/UPDATED-RO- Special-Report-Compatible-Infill-Devel-Final_print.pdf

#### **Deconstruction Training Programs**

Many cities have deconstruction programs that train local residents -- including the un- or underemployed and former convicts -- in deconstruction. This provides local jobs as well as creates a skilled workforce able to safely deconstruct and buildings and recycle their materials. Deconstruction also serves to put materials back into circulation for restoration and rehabilitation projects. Some examples of deconstruction training programs include the Rebuilding Exchange in Chicago and EcoWorks/Reclaim Detroit in Michigan

www.rebuildingexchange.org/job-training www.ecoworksdetroit.org/

#### **Demolition Delay**

As of 2013, the City of Little Rock committed to delay the demolition of historic structures on the "unsafe and vacant" listing for 180 days. Approximately 700 structures are currently on this list.

www.arktimes.com/ArkansasBlog/archives/2013/08/11/little-rock-togive-more-time-to-rehab-derelict-proper

#### Demolition of Unsafe Residential Structures

Residential structures that have been declared unsafe for occupancy by Code Enforcement are submitted to the Little Rock City Board of Directors for condemnation approval. Once officially condemned, the Department of Housing and Neighborhood Programs awards contracts for the demolition of these structures and supervises the demolition process to ensure that the site is brought into full compliance with City Ordinance.

www.littlerock.org/citydepartments/housing\_programs/ neighborhoodprograms/

#### Department of Housing and Neighborhood Programs Redevelopment Map

This redevelopment map is a publicly-available tool used to identify unsafe, vacant structures, Landbank properties, Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) developments, and demolished properties throughout the City of Little Rock.

www.littlerock.org/citydepartments/housing\_programs/little\_rock\_ properties.aspx

#### **Detroit Land Bank Authority Vacant Home Auction**

The Detroit Land Bank Authority (DLBA) launched the auction website in May 2014 in an effort to connect potential homebuyers with vacant Detroit Land Bank-owned houses.

www.buildingdetroit.org/our-programs/auction-program/

#### Detroit Land Bank Authority's Rehabbed & Ready

The Detroit Land Bank Authority's Rehabbed & Ready program recognizes that many potential homeowners would like to move into homes that don't require money or time invested in rehabilitation. This program offers homes that are completely rehabbed, up to code and ready to be occupied. All homes are sold as a traditional real estate transaction and include brand new amenities. For purchasers interested in a mortgage, Quicken Loans has offered pre-approvals for this program.

auctions.buildingdetroit.org/RehabbedAndReady

#### EPA Brownfields Revolving Loan Funding (RLF)

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency awards supplemental funding to clean contaminated sites across the state. Some of these projects will help communities create jobs and many cleanups are in under-served and economically disadvantaged neighborhoods.

http://www2.adeq.state.ar.us/hazwaste/bf/default.htm

#### Exercise Lots in Detroit

Piety Hill neighborhood in Detroit has over 300 vacant lots. Central Detroit Christian CDC received \$110k from Kresge to develop 7 lots that are less than 50 square feet (the minimum for residential development) into exercise spaces. Each lot contains all weather exercise equipment and basic landscaping.

www.modeldmedia.com/features/cdc-fitness-lots-121515.aspx

#### Expert House Movers

Well known for moving many types of buildings, this company can relocate buildings to more suitable areas. Their website provides helpful FAQs about moving buildings.

www.experthousemovers.com/moving-resources/faqs

#### Fit 2 Live Community Gardens

The City of North Little Rock supports community gardens through the Fit 2 Live Community Garden Program, which makes \$30,000 per year available to support the establishment or improvement of community gardens and urban farms. Community groups, faithbased organizations, and schools may request between \$1,000 and \$5,000 for garden construction materials, tools, and certain other expenses.

nlr.ar.gov/cms/One.aspx?portalId=96203&pageId=96909

#### Geothermal Wells

To heat and cool a home, as well as heat water, this technology use the earth's renewable energy just below the surface. "Re-Imagining Cleveland: Vacant Land Re-Use Pattern Book" suggests placing Geothermal Wells on a vacant lot to provide adjacent houses with environmentally friendly and cost effective energy.

www.earthdaycoalition.org/documents/patternbookFINAL\_lo-res\_ file\_1241529170.pdf

#### Habitat for Humanity Deconstruction Services

Through this program, volunteers are trained to deconstruct nonstructural elements of homes before they are demolished. Habitat for Humanity also run salvage warehouses call "ReStores," that sell donated materials at a low cost to help people of all income levels up their homes.

www.habitatcentralar.org/volunteer

#### **HOME Program**

Created by the National Affordable Housing Act of 1990 (NAHA) HOME is a Federally-funded, large scale grant program intended to strengthen the abilities of State and local governments to provide housing. HOME provides up to \$25,000 to bring substandard housing up to code to low- and moderate-income persons residing within a CDBG and HOME target area.

www.littlerock.org/citydepartments/housing\_programs/cdbg\_ housing/

#### HUD's Dollar Homes Program

This initiative helps local governments foster housing opportunitie for low- to moderate-income families and address specific community needs by offering them the opportunity to purchase qualified HUD-owned homes for \$1 each and fix them up. Singlefamily homes acquired through foreclosures are made available af six months on the market.

http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program\_offices/ housing/sfh/reo/goodn/dhmabout

## The Infill Design Toolkit: Medium Density Residential Development

This guide and toolkit contains a wide range of strategies for conter sensitive infill development, prototype designs that allow fast-track approval, technical design details, and a compilation of Portland neighborhood design policies.

www.portlandoregon.gov/bps/49254

es g	Very comprehensive guide and model ordinance prepared by the Center for Urban Policy Research with commentary; also describes challenges and proposes best practices solutions from succesful programs in other places
	www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/codes/alerts/pdfs/2006_6_rev2007_4_ infill_dev_stds.pdf
	Inmate Training in Pine Bluff The city received more than \$2M over a two year period to train inmates to evaluate and demolish condemned property.
l fix	www.arkansasmatters.com/news/news/abandoned-homes-an-issue- in-pine-bluff
	<b>Little Rock Land Bank Commission</b> This Commission's goal is to reverse blight, increase home ownership and stability of property values, provide affordable housing, improve the health and safety of neighborhoods, and maintain the architectural fabric of Little Rock. These goals will
0	be achieved through the study, acquisition, and disposition of vacant, abandoned, tax delinquent, and City lien property while collaborating with citizens, neighborhoods, developers, non-profit organizations and other governmental agencies.
	littlerock.org/citydepartments/housing_programs/ landbankcommission/
25	Little Rock's Model Block Program This program is focused on bringing structures up to city code standards and to provide infill on vacant lots. CDBG funds are used to purchase the vacant lots and new, affordable homes will be constructed to improve the infrastructure around them.
fter	www.usmayors.org/bestpractices/cdbg/pub65.htm
ext- k	Lots of Green The Youngstown Neighborhood Development Corporation initiative to clean up vacant land and remove barriers to maintenance such as debris, hazardous trees, and sinkholes. After cleanup, land is made available for transfer to adjacent homeowners through the Side Lot Program. Currently, residents can take title to vacant land through Lien Forward Ohio and the Mahoning County Land Reutilization Corporation (Land Bank).
	www.yndc.org/programs/lots-green/vacant-land-stabilization

Infill Development Ctenderde and Deliev Cuide

#### Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC)

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) offers this program to help encourage and fund the development of affordable rental housing for low-income households by providing an indirect federal subsidy.

*portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program\_offices/comm\_planning/affordablehousing/training/web/lihtc/basics* 

#### Main Street Creative Corridor

Mark Stodola, the mayor of Little Rock, envisioned that the Main Street could become what he called a "Creative Corridor"–a place where arts and culture could anchor a vibrant, mixed-use place in the center of the city and provide affordable housing to artists. The mayor assembled strategic partnerships between public, private, and non-profit entities to address a diversity of uses and issues.

www.arts.gov/exploring-our-town/main-street-creative-corridor

#### Memphis Mow-to-Own Program

The ordinance, sponsored by Councilman Berlin Boyd, is an avenue for property owners to mow an adjoining city- or county-owned vacant lot to earn credits toward buying it.

www.commercialappeal.com/news/government/city/memphis-mowto-own-program-passes-final-vote-in-city-council-24abb49c-9791-7630-e053-0100007fa76d-351215011.html

#### Mothballing

This involves stabilizing, securing, and protecting a vacant structure from weather damage and vandals while preserving the structure for future use.

www.nps.gov/tps/how-to-preserve/briefs/31-mothballing.htm

#### Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)

This program run by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development provides states and selected local governments with funding to purchase and redevelop foreclosed and abandoned houses. For example, NSP funds have aided in the formation and continuation of land banks.

www.hudexchange.info/nsp

#### New Markets Tax Credits (NMTC) Program

This federal program focuses on generating economic growth in lowincome communities by providing tax credits to investors who make equity investments in Community Development Entities (specialized financial institutions that help low-income communities or persons). North Little Rock Community Development works to eliminate conditions of slums and blight and improve the housing quality throughout the community, with particular emphasis on housing available to and occupied by low to moderate income persons. Services provided include emergency repairs, weatherization, and technical assistance.

nlr.ar.gov/government/a\_z\_department\_list/community\_ development

#### **Obsolete Building Rehabilitation Act (OPRA)**

A community essentially freezes the existing taxable value on a designated facility for up to 12 years. By freezing the taxable value, it provides an incentive for the developer to make significant improvements to a building without increasing the property taxes on the building.

www.michigan.gov/documents/taxes/MEDCGuidelines\_280240\_7. pdf

#### Philadelphia Land Care Program

The Pennsylvania Horticultural Society (PHS) works with communitybased organizations and city agencies to transform Philadelphia's vacant land into neighborhood assets as part of a strategic approach to neighborhood redevelopment. The PHS LandCare program cleans, greens, and stabilizes vacant lots to help return them to productive use, now having installed and maintained interim landscape treatments for over 7,000 parcels covering nearly 11 million square feet in key transitional neighborhoods, in turn developing more than 850 properties for new housing and business.

phsonline.org/programs/landcare-program

#### Pop Up City

The Cleveland Urban Design Collaborative developed this research program to demonstrate how vacant spaces can be turned into experiential, temporary spaces that encourage imagination and reuse.

www.cudc.kent.edu/pop\_up\_city/index.html

#### Providence EveryHome Program

This program addresses abandoned properties and produces local jobs for these projects through utilizing an expansion of the receivership program, withholding vacant properties from tax sales to promote rehabilitation and aligning federal investments with the goals of the initiative.

www.providenceri.com/mayor/mayor-elorza-announces-everyhomeinitiative

#### Save-A-Home Program

The City of Little Rock acquires a house needing extensive repairs, rehabilitates it, then sells it to a low-income homebuyer at a cost as much as \$10,000 below the total investment by the City (if necessary) to make it affordable.

www.littlerock.org/citydepartments/housing\_programs/cdbg\_ housing/

#### State Rehabilitation Tax Credit

Properties that are listed on the National Register of Historic Places or are listed as "contributing" within a historic district on the Nation Register are elegible to claim a tax credit on their rehabilitation. Properties that will be eligible for listing following rehabilitation w also be considered.

www.arkansaspreservation.com/Preservation-Services/rehabilitation tax-credits

#### St. Louis Preservation Fund

In St. Louis, legislation was proposed that would add a small additional fee to electrical permits, half of which would go toward preservation and mothballing fund, the other half to a demolition fund. While this bill did not ultimately pass, it presents an interesti model for contributing to an array of neighborhood interventions (preservation and demolition, or others) through one additional small fee.

www.bizjournals.com/stlouis/morning\_call/2013/10/bill-would-fur building-preservation.html

#### Stop the Demolitions, Little Rock

A community-focused Facebook page for use by residents who see to develop, encourage, and share constructive and viable alternative to the demolition of abandoned structures.

www.facebook.com/StopTheDemolitionsLittleRock/

#### **Strategic Demolition**

This involves a targeted, cost-effective approach to removing buildings, and most importantly, is integrated into a larger revitalization plan. It also includes the proper disposal of waste produced by the demolition.

www.greaterohio.org/publications/strategic-demolition-report

Cleveland's zoning code specifically allows the City to reserve land for urban gardens and to prohibit all other uses for a property.

*planning.city.cleveland.oh.us/zoning/pdf agricultureopenspacesummary.pdf* 

s nal vill	<b>Vacant to Vibrant</b> (Gary, IN; Cleveland, OH; Buffalo, NY) The goal of the project is to create joint stormwater management / neighborhood recreational assets on small, distributed vacant residential parcels in urban neighborhoods and to measure the effectiveness of these installations as green stormwater infrastructure and as tools for neighborhood stabilization.
	www.legacycitydesign.org/projects/28-vacant-to-vibrant
n-	<b>Vacant Property Registry</b> Owners of vacant properties in Painesville, Ohio - private or public - have to register their properties for \$200 (first year) and that fee doubles each year the property is vacant.
а	bit.do/vacantpropertyregistry
ing	Working With Lots A Field Guide Detroit Future City has developed and designed an easy-to-use guide with for reutilizing vacant land in creative and environmentally- friendly ways. For each of the dozens of options available, the guide
nd-	provides materials needed, long-term maintenance levels, and total cost for each design to be implemented, offering options along a spectrum of affordability for individuals, larger organizations, land banks, or municipalities.
ek ves	dfc-lots.com



This section provides more information on each of Relocal's eight categories and specific metrics measured in Little Rock.

#### **REAL ESTATE**

A strong real estate market is rightly linked to healthy neighborhoods. It supports consistently valued property, sales (but not at a rate that is Foreclosures indicate a real estate market with a high number of underwater properties, where the value of the home exceeds the destabilizing to the community), low vacancy rates, few foreclosures and vacancies, and markers of continued investment like building mortgage value, or more general economic distress. This score is based on the number of foreclosures in proportion to the total permits for new construction and rehabilitation. Though the real number of owner-occupied properties. estate market includes hundreds of nuanced factors that vary daily, the metrics included here incorporate major factors for evaluating Institutionally Owned Land past disinvestment and prospective reinvestment in transitional Institutionally owned land, particularly when that land is vacant,

neighborhoods. indicates a redevelopment opportunity for property that is prime to be developed for any number of uses by or in conjunction with a Change in Property Values local stakeholder entity. This metric excludes parks and schools, and Property value reflects many variables in the health of a neighborhood. Steady or rising property values over time indicate that homebuyers includes land with no building that is developable and publically and investors feel that the neighborhood is worth investing in. This owned. Its scores is based on each subarea's proportion of public land to overall land. score is based on property value trends over the last ten years.

#### Property Sales

Sales reflect the stability and desirability of a neighborhood. A low sales volume where properties spend relatively few days on the market indicates a stable neighborhood where people are eager to buy in; conversely, a high sales volume or long time on the market point to investor flipping or a hesitancy by prospective homeowners to invest. This score is based on sales volume and average sales price over time.

#### New Construction

New construction indicates optimism and investment in an area, as well as job creation. This score is based on construction activity (building permits) over a given period. Large redevelopment projects may be linked to a high number of demolition permits.

#### Remodeling/Renovation

Renovation and remodeling projects signal investment and long-term owner occupancy. They also create jobs and often source from nearby businesses, increasing local economic activity. This score is based on rehabilitation activity (building permits) over a given period.

#### Vacant Land

A high number of vacant land signals long-term disinvestment and depopulation, and potentially a greater investment needed to make a difference. This score is based on the proportion of vacant land to developed land in the neighborhood, excluding parks and other intentional open space.



#### **RELOCAL METRICS EXPLAINED**

#### Vacant Buildings

Vacant buildings are another indicator of disinvestment, depopulation, and devalued real estate. This score is based on the proportion of vacant buildings to occupied buildings.

#### Foreclosures

#### Affordability

This category includes housing and transit, the two largest expenses incurred by the vast majority of households. Neighborhoods that have a range of housing choices (size and cost) and are located close to neighborhood business districts or downtowns are more likely to accommodate a broader range of incomes and more diverse residents. This score is based on the Center for Neighborhood Technology's H+T Affordability Index, which is calculated from block-level Census data. By combining a 15 percent allocation for transportation with the 30 percent housing affordability standard, CNT recommends a new view of affordability that combines housing and transportation costs and consumes no more than 45 percent of household income.

#### Diversity of Unit Size

A range of unit sizes allows a neighborhood to accommodate diverse household sizes and incomes and creates a more inclusive neighborhood. This score is based on parcel-level data on the size and type of residential buildings.

#### **Community Development Corporations**

Community development corporations (CDCs) are formed to help strengthen a weak market by developing properties, revitalizing commercial corridors, and taking risks where private-sector developers are unwilling to act. This score is based on the presence of a community-based organization, as well as its level of activity and investment. This metric was not used in Little Rock.

#### STABILITY

Neighborhood stability plays a central role in determining whether investments will hold their value over time. An area that has or continues to experience population decline or is rapidly losing density may require interventions that are not possible given capacity. Understanding a neighborhood's stability support allocation of limited resources to appropriately address the underlying issues and help 'turn the tide.'

#### Population Change

Population change is the number of people who have left or moved to the neighborhood over the last decade. A healthy, stable neighborhood will gain more residents than it loses. Population change is scored based on the population change from 2000 to 2013.

#### **Economic Integration**

Economic integration reflects the diversity incomes within a subarea. A greater variety of housing choices will accommodate a greater range of incomes. This diversity creates a more livable environment for all residents. Economic integration is scored for each neighborhood based on whether the neighborhood reflects the income ranges of the city as a whole.

#### Diversity

Racial and ethnic diversity points to a neighborhood that welcomes and sustains a variety of people. This type of neighborhood is likely to be more resilient in the face of external changes. Diversity is measured using the Gini coefficient and scored based on whether it reflects the demographics of the city as a whole.

#### **Owner Occupancy**

Owner occupancy indicates a sustained financial, physical, and social investment in the neighborhood. Homeowners are more likely to make physical improvements to their homes, participate in local civic activities, and reside in the neighborhood longer. This score is based on proportion of homeowners to renters in the neighborhood.

#### Long-term Residents

Long-term residents are an indicator of stability, connection, and commitment to place. A lower rate of resident movement means that residents stay in the neighborhood longer, contributing to lower turnover rates and higher feelings of neighborhood ownership. This score is based on the proportion of households who have lived in the neighborhood longer than fifteen years as of 2015.

#### Neighborhood Pull

While long-term residents signify deep investment in their property and locality, neighborhood pull looks at influx trends of households moving to a neighborhood. This could be for any number of reasons, but indicates that the area is offering a range of desirable amenities - from affordable housing options to service proximity to public transportation access - that make it attractive to new households. This score is based on the number of households who have moved to each subarea since 2000.

#### Signal Population Trends

A sharp increase in certain demographics, or "signal populations," may signal the beginning of a larger neighborhood trend. This may be true even if the overall neighborhood population is decreasing. This score is based on neighborhood-level data compared with citylevel data.

#### **Demolition Permits**

Demolition permits measures the number of buildings that have been demolished in the subarea over a given period of time. Many demolition permits point to a high degree of change in the neighborhood as more familiar built fabric is removed. Demolition is scored based on number of demolition permits as a percentage of all building permits in the subarea. When the number of demolition permits is less than the number of building permits over the same period-that is, demolition may be associated with redevelopmentdemolition scores are not treated as a negative.

#### Crime

Crime rates affect the actual and perceived quality of a neighborhood. High crime rates discourage investment and improvements, make the neighborhood less attractive to prospective residents, and may even cause current residents to leave. This score is based on reported crime rates in recent years.

#### Fire Calls

Building fires may be the result of outdated or poorly built facilities (such as poor wiring), arson, or simply accident. A high number of building fires indicate a high level of disinvestment and/or criminal activity. This score is based on fire calls linked to building fires in recent years.

#### **NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER**

Neighborhood character contributes to a sense of place. It helps distinguish one neighborhood from another through obvious and subtle differences in mostly physical elements: street width and sidewalk condition, street trees, building size and scale, building style and age. Many of these factors are measured in other categories. This category captures the indicators relating to a neighborhood's buildings and history, as told through the built environment.

#### Architectural character

Architectural character adds personality and charm to a neighborhood, whether through gingerbread houses swagged with Victorian trim, modest workers' cottages, glassy mid-century houses, or a combination of different architectural styles in the same neighborhood. This score is based on field surveys.

#### **Building Quality**

The construction quality of building stock helps determine how soon additional private or public investments will be needed. Higherguality building stock holds its value longer-an important factor in areas prone to disinvestment. This score is based on field surveys or city records.

#### **Building Condition**

Building condition indicates the regularity and guality of maintenance. This score is based on field surveys.

#### National Register Historic Districts

The National Register of Historic Places is a record of places in the U.S. with local, state, or national importance, or significance. A historic district listed in the National Register is a collection of buildings or landscape features that are significant for the same reason, and which convey their significance (integrity) to residents and visitors. Historic districts indicate a well-preserved sense of history and place. This score is based on the existence of one or more National Registerlisted historic districts and the proportion of neighborhood buildings included in their boundaries

#### Local Historic Districts

Local historic districts also denote collections of buildings or landscape features that are locally significant and have sufficient integrity to convey their significance. Local districts also carry the distinction of transportation. being regulated by a review board of local citizens, which ensures that publicly visible changes to private or public property are in Sidewalkability Index keeping with the character of the district. This score is based on the Sidewalks play an essential role in measuring walkability. They create existence of one or more local historic districts and the proportion of a separate space for pedestrians and increase perceived and actual neighborhood buildings included in their boundaries; it is weighted safety. Sidewalks are especially critical for those with limited mobility more heavily than National Register historic districts because of the or higher vulnerability such as people with disabilities, seniors, and local review board's regulatory oversight powers. children; but they are important for everyone. This score is based on the proportion of sidewalks to roads in a neighborhood.

#### **Overlay Districts / Design Guidelines**

Design guidelines in a neighborhood assist property owners in making Sidewalk Presence and Condition improvements in keeping with the character of the neighborhood. Sidewalk condition also affects the walkability of an area, as well as its They ensure consistency, and reflect local and/or municipal investment accessibility for community members with limited mobility. in retaining a local sense of place. This score is based on the existence and consistent application of design guidelines. Public Transportation

Proximity to public transportation allows residents to access other Public Art areas of the city and may bring in business customers from other Though public art is not essential for daily life, it adds a cultural neighborhoods. Good access to a variety of transit options also dimension to daily life, as it is open to everyone free of charge. contributes to a more equitable community, where residents without This score is based on City lists of formally recognized public art, if cars are not at a disadvantage in moving around the city. This score is available, as well as field surveys. based on number and proximity of public transportation routes.

#### Vacant Parcel Use

Vacant parcels without buildings can include vacant lots as well as Safe bike routes allow cyclists to ride to work, school, and errands, or gardens, side lots, parking lots, and parks of various sizes. Vacant lots for pleasure without concerns about safety. Bike routes could include can have detrimental effects on nearby properties and the broader streets with on-street bike lanes, sharrows, or separated bike lanes; neighborhood as well as provide opportunities for new interventions, as well as off-street pathways. This score measures the length of bikeand parks (both city sanctioned and informal), side lots, and gardens friendly street segments as determined by a municipality, advocacy demonstrate a local initiative to reactive and care for non-building group, or citizen poll. land. This metric identifies the uses of non-built land, and weights the presence of parks, side lots, and gardens. This information was Walking Trails gathered through the field survey. Walking trails provide pedestrians and sometimes cyclists with

#### Graffiti

navigation options. By separating people from fast-moving cars that emit pollution and noise, these networks increase safety and enhance Graffiti creates and encourages the impression that a place is not the experience of walking or cycling. This factor measures the length actively watched or cared for. This score reflects the amount of graffiti of bike path and walking trail segments. noted in field surveys.

#### WALKABILITY

#### **Bike Routes**

Homebuyers and renters in urban areas increasingly value proximity to jobs, schools, shopping, and public assets as a contributor to quality of life. A walkable neighborhood allows people to access goods and services without driving and is also supported by public health advocates who seek to incorporate more exercise in daily activities. Reinvesting in walkable neighborhoods with nearby amenities is a long-term approach to building stronger, more sustainable, more livable communities. Walkability scores are calculated according to the proportion of buildings and occupied buildings within a given distance of a community amenity (generally one half-mile).

#### Street Grid Connectivity

A street network with short blocks provides more options and shorter routes between points. A higher number of visually interesting routes means that walking or cycling is more pleasant, and raises the likelihood of people choosing an alternative (non-driving) modes of

#### Traffic Volume

Roads that carry higher volumes of traffic are likely less pleasant to walk or bike on, and thus discourage alternative modes of transportation. This score is based on the average and peak traffic counts on selected roads in the neighborhood.

#### Schools

An operating school is a significant neighborhood asset. It allows children and parents to walk or bike to school and creates a potential hub for community volunteer investment. A school building that is no longer in educational use remains a significant asset that can be reused for a variety of community-oriented uses, from housing to commercial/office space to culture.

#### Neighborhood Business District

A healthy business district in the neighborhood provides basic goods and services to residents. This is a major asset in a walkable neighborhood. Even a struggling business district holds the potential to meet basic neighborhood needs with targeted, committed investment. In Little Rock, this was evaluated using business clusters within 100 feet.

#### **Proximity to Downtown**

Downtown is typically a hub of jobs, transportation, culture, and entertainment. Proximity to these amenities-or the potential for these amenities, in some places-is a strength for residential neighborhoods. Additionally, efforts to make downtowns more vibrant can "spill over" with benefits to other nearby neighborhoods. This metric was not used in Little Rock.

#### **Community Centers/Other Public Facilities**

Public facilities include libraries, schools, community centers, recreation centers, and parks - spaces designed and designated for public use. Proximity to these facilities allows neighborhood residents to not drive and opens access to people who do not drive because of income, disability, or age (youth and seniors). This score sums up the number and proximity of public facilities in and around the neighborhood.

#### **Medical Services**

Proximity to medical services allows car-less residents, particularly seniors, to access important health services and generates local jobs. This score is based on the presence and proximity of medical services in and around the neighborhood.

#### Walk Score

Walk Score is a scoring system developed by the Walk Score company that assigns scores to given places based on their proximity to businesses, schools, parks, transit, entertainment, and other common destinations. A higher Walk Score indicates that the place is more walkable.

#### FISCAL

Fiscal responsibility is important for the long-term sustainability of any municipality, and especially for cities and towns that are already struggling because of long-term population loss and disinvestment. These indicators measure the costs and contributions of neighborhood elements, with the goal of enabling local governments to capitalize on existing assets and spend new funds conservatively and effectively.

#### **Property Value Per Acre**

Property value evaluates the worth of land and buildings in a neighborhood according to estimated or actual market value. This score is based on property value per acre, according to the county assessor's office.

#### **Property Tax Generation**

Property taxes provide a significant amount of revenue to local government coffers. Looking at what areas generate property taxes recognizes that importance by aggregating and averaging property taxes in the neighborhood by area. This score is based on property taxes collected per acre.

#### Tax Delinguency

Tax delinquency is a signal of economic distress and overall disinvestment. This score is based on the number of tax-delinguent properties and amount owed.

#### Density

Areas where more people live and work concentrates activity and requires less public investment in infrastructure, transportation, public spaces, and other public goods. This score is based on the concentration of residents per acre.

#### **Density Potential**

Density potential measures how many additional residents could fit in a neighborhood if current development patterns were to replace vacant residential properties. Though less important than current density, density potential looks to the future in considering what a neighborhood might look like with less vacancy and more infill development.

#### **Replacement Cost of Infrastructure**

Public infrastructure represents past investments in the built environment for the public good, sometimes through generations. True fiscal responsibility requires that municipalities seriously examine the benefits of capitalizing on these long-term investments via incremental maintenance expenditures. The score is based on the replacement costs of various types of infrastructure.

#### Stranded Investment

This indicator measures the investment in existing public infrastructure already made by the municipality over time, but that is not actualizing its full value due underutilization of the infrastructure. The resulting stranded investment is an annual figure representing underutilized infrastructure investments.

#### Rehabilitation to Demolition Ratio

Demolition lowers property value by removing-or subtractingvalue of improvements from the overall value of the property. When demolition outpaces rehabilitation in a neighborhood, it signals high level of disinvestment unmatched by reinvestment.

#### Intervention tools available

Diverse tools exist for improving a community: sparking revitalization encouraging rehabilitation and reuse, improving bicycle pedestrian facilities, creating affordable housing, and many me This score is based on the availability of intervention tools at all lev from local to national. This metric was not used in Little Rock.

#### Use of intervention tools

Intervention tools are of little value if they are not employed. score is based on how frequently and effectively available intervent tools are used in a given neighborhood, with a focus on the munici government's use of the tools. This metric was not used in Little Ro

#### ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

Economic activity contributes to neighborhood strength sustainability by generating jobs and services to serve residents perhaps attract visitors. A thriving business district, employm centers, and at-home businesses generate financial revenue business owners and workers, as well as tax revenue for local state governments. Economic opportunities for entrepreneurs help determine residents' ability to build wealth.

#### Aggregate Household Income

Household income indicates current prosperity and trends over til This score is based on household income compared to that of the as a whole.

#### Aggregate Spending Power

Aggregate purchasing power measures the cumulative income of households in the neighborhood. Higher purchasing power me more opportunities for businesses, and thus more local jobs. Thi scored relative to other neighborhoods in the city.

#### Spending Power Per Acre

Purchasing power per acre is a geographically based meas of aggregated household income, which reflects the density households in a neighborhood. This score is based on purchas power per acre in the neighborhood compared to the city as a who

#### **Employment Centers**

An employment center is a cluster of employers who provide opportunities for locals and others. This score is based on the num of jobs in subareas.

#### **Neighborhood Business District**

Small businesses in a neighborhood business district offer easily accessible goods and services, provide local jobs, and generate income and sales taxes. This score reflects the number of buildings that contribute to neighborhood business districts.

–the /hen als a	A business or merchants Association A business or merchants association promotes and sometimes recruits local businesses, helping to strengthen a neighborhood business district. This score is based on the presence and level of activity of a business or merchants association. This metric was not used in Little Rock.
tion, and nore. vels,	<b>At-home businesses</b> Entrepreneurs working from home provide a level of economic and intellectual capital that helps energize a neighborhood and increase a city's tax base. This metric was not used in Little Rock.
This ntion cipal Rock.	Households with high-speed internet High-speed internet provides access to communication, education, and commerce. A high number of households with high-speed internet points to increased opportunities for neighborhood residents. This metric was not used in Little Rock.
and and	<b>Foreign-Born</b> In-migration reflects perceptions of economic and other opportunities as people move into and invest in a neighborhood. In particular, immigrants serve as a bellwether of economic development, as they are more likely to start new businesses. This score is based on the rates of in-migrants from other countries in the past 5 years.
nent e for and also	<b>Unemployment Rate</b> The unemployment rate is an indicator of the level of economic activity and opportunity in and around a neighborhood. This score is based on the neighborhood unemployment rate compared to that of the city as a whole.
ime. e city of all eans his is	Available Workforce People between the ages of 16 and 65 who are neither working nor looking for work are a population that provides an opportunity for local activation. This group is composed of people who may not need to work or who have withdrawn from active job searches. This category is distinct from the unemployed and does not include retirees over 65, active duty military, prisoners, or nursing home residents. Scoring is based on the percentage of available workforce as compared to the city.
isure y of ising nole.	<b>Small Business</b> Startup firms and small businesses are indicators of a vibrant local job economy, and small to medium office spaces – such as are found in older commercial buildings – tend to suit their workplace needs. Small firms are identified as 20 people or less, and startups are less than one year old. Scoring is based on the sum of total jobs in these two categories for each subarea as a portion of the city.
e job nber	ENGAGEMENT
asily	Though public engagement is intangible, it has strong implications for the social and physical health of a neighborhood. A healthy

neighborhood holds people who believe that they can make a

difference, who gather to discuss problems and opportunities, and

**Business/merchants Association** 

who take ownership of public spaces. Some indicators for engagement are non-physical, such as voter registration; others are represented by a building or space.

#### Neighborhood organizations

Neighborhood associations and block groups are a fundamental part of shaping city policy at the grassroots level. This score is based on the existence of an active neighborhood association or block groups, as judged through online activity, conversations with City staff, and interviews with group leaders, when possible.

#### Senior Organizations

Senior organizations provide a way for seniors to socialize with each other and give back to the broader community. This type of organization improves the quality of life for senior residents and can serve as a hub for community service activities. This score is based on the existence of one or more active senior organizations and based on the ideal that one center should exist per 500 seniors (65 years and older) living in the subarea.

#### Youth Organizations

Youth organizations focus on engaging young people in community activities. This score is based on the existence of one or more active youth organizations and based on the ideal that one organization should exist per 500 young people (18 and under) living in the subarea.

#### Third Places

Third places are informal community gathering places such as coffee shops, bookstores, or bars. They provide safe places for people to casually meet and interact with friends, neighbors, and strangers. Third places are derived directly from the Community Priority Survey.

#### Voter Registration

Voter registration signals that citizens are engaged and committed at a very basic level. This score is based on the proportion of eligible registered voters in the neighborhood.

#### **Voter Participation**

Voter participation also reflects community members' civic engagement. It is based on voter participation in at least one election between 2012-2014.

#### ENVIRONMENT

Environmental factors constitute a broad category, and reflect past land uses such as with brownfields; natural resources such as trees and water; and current quality-of-life and health concerns such as noise, air, and odor pollution. Judicious long-term investments prioritize healthy places where people want to live, work, play, and invest.

#### **Embodied Energy**

Embodied energy reflects past investments in time, physical labor, and materials. Reinvesting in places with a high amount of embodied energy saves time and money now, and also capitalizes on past expenditures. This score provides an estimated aggregate of the embodied energy in a subarea's buildings.

#### Tree Cover

Trees along public rights-of-way, in parks, and on private property add a sense of place, as well as more tangible benefits such as shade, aesthetic pleasure, and reduction of the urban heat island effect. A higher proportion of tree cover in a neighborhood results in a higher score.

#### Water Access

Water can provide wildlife habitat, recreation opportunities, and significant ecological benefits. This score is based on the presence and accessibility of bodies of water.

#### Floodplains

Steep topography can be an asset in view properties, but it is a serious environmental concern. Buildings constructed on within floodplains are at increased risk for flooding and other damage as water levels change. This is score is based on the proportion of acres within the 500-year, floodplain, and floodway categories.

#### Brownfields

Brownfields are sites that have, in the past, held industrial uses that affect the ability of the property to be used for other uses. Brownfields may require cleanup to federal standards as part of redevelopment, though some federal funds are designated for planning and remediation. They may be weighted as an opportunity for new development or a liability inhibiting other new development and lowering property values, depending on community concerns, public and private impetus, and available funding. This score is based on the number and area of EPA-classified brownfields.

#### Air/odor Pollution

Air pollution from traffic or industry affects resident health, particularly that of vulnerable populations such as children and seniors. This score is based on air quality levels and may be negative.

#### **Noise Pollution**

Noise pollution affects quality of life, particularly for residential properties. If loud enough, it can disrupt sleep and daytime activities. This metric was not used in Little Rock.



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are appreciative of the opportunity to complete this Relocal project in Little Rock. Thanks to the City of Little Rock and Arkansas Historic Preservation Program (AHPP) for making this project possible, specifically Brian Minyard and Catherine Barrier respectively. Their excitement for the project, responsiveness to inquiries, and local expertise not only facilitated Relocal's process but made it all the more enjoyable and interesting along the way. Thanks to the various city and county departments -- including City of Little Rock Police and Fire Departments, Building Inspections, Housing and Neighborhood Programs, Parks Department, Pulaski County Assessor's Office, Pulaski County Treasurer's Office, and Metroplan -- for supplying us with vital data, and an extra special thanks to Brian Minyard for his yeoman efforts seeking out and amassing many of these critical data sets in advance which allowed our team to hit the ground running on analysis.

In addition to the City of Little Rock and the AHPP, we owe a sincere thanks to Vanessa McKuin of Preserve Arkansas and Rhea Roberts of Quapaw Quarter Association and their staff for their own time and efforts on the field survey and for helping to distribute the community priority survey, among other supportive measures.

We appreciate the time, enthusiasm, and commitment of our dozens of Relocal field survey volunteers. Without them, a survey of nearly 8,000 properties would have proved guite difficult and far less fun. An enormous thank you goes to Dunbar Community Center for serving as our field survey headquarters, and specifically Sedric Mayfield for his consistent friendliness and helpful responsiveness throughout the survey process. Additional credit for the field survey goes to LocalData, whose survey platform makes field-based data collection efficient and easy.

The PlaceEconomics project team consisted of Donovan Rypkema, Emilie Evans, Briana Grosicki, Carla Bruni, and Rodney Swink.

The activity which is the subject of this report has been financed entirely with funds from the Arkansas Historic Preservation Program, an agency of the Department of Arkansas Heritage. However, the contents and opinions do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Arkansas Heritage or AHPP, nor does the mention of trade names of commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation by the Arkansas Historic Preservation Program.





LITTLE ROCK



#### ARKANSAS HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROGRAM



FEBRUARY 2016